

Introducing LArIAT (aka T1034)

Jason St. John - University of Cincinnati - for the LArIAT collaboration

March 21st, 2013 - Liquid Argon TPC R&D Workshop - Fermilab

Liquid Argon TPC
in a Testbeam

Introducing LArIAT (aka T1034)

Jason St. John - University of Cincinnati - for the LArIAT collaboration

March 21st, 2013 - Liquid Argon TPC R&D Workshop - Fermilab



LArIAT

16 institutions
40+ physicists

New members welcome!



Scientific Goals

Phased program for comprehensive characterization of LArTPC performance for the range of energies relevant to upcoming experiments like MicroBooNE and LBNE

Phase-I: Modified ArgoNeuT detector

Single-track calibration (recombination & charge-to-energy calibration)

Experimental measurement of e/ gamma discrimination

Optimization of particle ID methods


Development of criteria for μ charge sign determination

Phase-II: Larger volume TPC (TBD)

Reconstruction of collective topologies (detected-to-incident energy calibration)

Characterization of EM and hadronic showers

Timeline




↑
LBNE milestone:
CD-1 review

↑
LBNE milestone:
CD-2 approval
(Spring 2016)

Single Track Calibration

Precisely establish relationship between **ionization charge** collected at TPC wires and **energy deposited** in LAr by incident particles of different types and stopping powers.

Below 15 MeV/cm, Birks parameterization well-validated; above ~15-20 MeV/cm data from ICARUS cosmic ray measurements, but sparse and statistically limited.



With 250-2000 MeV beam of particles that penetrate and stop in TPC, can determine calibration for:



- ❖ Extended range of energy deposition (dE/dx)
- ❖ Different E field values (~ 0.3 - 1.0 kV/cm range, typ. LArTPC operation)
- ❖ Different track-to-electric field angles

Goal: Provide to MicroBooNE (LArSoft) verification of parameterization or tables of ionization charge vs. energy deposited for each measured setting.



e/γ Shower Separation

Separation efficiency and sample purity of electron-induced *vs.* photon-induced showers never experimentally measured.

Initial part of shower is relevant for separation (γ converts to e^+e^- pair w/double ionization at shower start).



Optimization of PID Methods



Single track calibration + 3D imaging
 $\Rightarrow dE/dx$ vs. residual range

High-statistics test beam data will allow
 experimental determination of:

Proton ID, p/K separation and purity/rejection factor
 Kaon ID, K/ π / μ separation and purity/rejection factor


μ Charge Sign Determination

Sign selection without magnetic field can be done by statistical analysis based on topological criteria.

μ^+ only undergo decay

μ^- capture on nuclei (75%, followed by γ or n emission) or decay (25%)

Systematic study of capture in Ar and LArTPC sign-selection capabilities have not been explored before.



EM & Hadronic Showers

EM energy deposition mechanism is very well understood
(MC simulations are very reliable)

However, in LAr, a substantial fraction of the incident energy ($\sim 30\%$, depending on incident energy) goes into soft electrons ($< 2 \text{ MeV}$)

How well can the incident energy be reconstructed?

Hadronic showers are more complicated (develop on λ_{int} scale rather than X_0 scale, $\lambda_{\text{int}} \sim 5X_0$). Containment more difficult.

Fraction of energy goes to:

EM: fluctuates and is E-dependent (never measured in LAr)

Soft neutrons: few tens of neutrons per GeV ($\sim 10\%$)


Undetectable: fraction not well known ($\sim 10\%$?)

Need to measure energy-dependent calibration constants for pions

Fermilab Test Beam Facility

<http://www-ppd.fnal.gov/MTBF-w/>

FTBF here. Zoom in next slide.



MTest and MCenter configurable for:

Primary beam

Proton Mode: ~66-120 GeV protons

Secondary beam

Pion Mode: 8-66 GeV beam

Low Energy Pion Mode: 1-32 GeV beam

Muon Mode: Same energy range as above


Tertiary beam

Low Energy Pion Mode: 200 MeV - 3 GeV

MISSION

The goal of the Fermilab Test Beam Program is to provide flexible, equal, and open access to test beams for all detector tests, with relatively low bureaucratic overhead and a guarantee of safety, coordination, and oversight.

Closer Look at FTBF




MTest

Continue to use for short-term experiments (few weeks to months)

MCenter

Create a facility for long-term LAr calibration and R&D with “generic” cryogenic plant in MC7/8 that will service upcoming experiments (LArIAT-I and -II) and any future LAr R&D in this beam.


Closer Look at MC7




Recent Progress for Phase-1:

- * Beamline layout
- * Triggering schemes
- * Cryostat preparations
- * PMT's and Light Yield
- * Readout Electronics
- * TPC simulation & Reconstruction

Beam Optics Layout



Beam Optics Layout



Testbeam Triggering

Poss. Inputs

Time of Flight

Cherenkov

Halo veto

PMTs

Punchthrough


Beamspill

DAQ busy

...

Minerva Testbeam instrumentation
and studying / preparing additional:

- ❖ Cherenkov electron-tagger
- ❖ momentum fit (wire chambers)




Cryostat Modifications


Work being done at PHPK




Drainage port: underside
LAr Excluder: upstream side
PMT feedthrough: side



Light Readout Tests




Submerge-operation teststand
PMT's delivered, working well
Optical simulation




Readout Electronics

LArIAT can re-use Argoneut electronics:
Proven and ready

Improved signal/noise available with MicroBooNE cold electronics
(as developed for Long Bo) [Only funds are lacking]



Simulation & Reconstruction



Modified cryostat
geometry now in Geant

⇒ Monte Carlo is Argoneut
with this geometry change.

Summary

LArIAT scientific goals:

- Direct/experimental proof of e/γ separation in LArTPCs
- Detailed measurements of recombination factors
 p , K , π , μ PID and accurate calorimetry
- Direct measurement of energy resolutions
for EM and hadronic showers
- Fine-tuning software for offline analysis


Phase-I effort is well underway

Working hard to be ready for beam startup (Summer 2013)

Phase-II simulation & planning has begun


Plenty of opportunities for new members to contribute!

Thank You!



Backup Material Follows

Argoneut at MC7



Target and Shielding




Cryogenics and LAr Purification

“Generic” purification system
under discussion/design now.
Plan to be reusable for Phase-II
(and any other future needs)

Detailed Beam Spill Structure

One Batch from the booster in the MI
data from the resistive wall monitor in the MI
this is a set of data during acceleration




Testbeam Triggering Progress

Existing DAQ crate controllers already accommodate an input signal to trigger on the neutrino beam spill and/or internal PMT signals

Feed information from beam ToF counters, Cherenkov counters, PMTs in vessel, & veto counters into 12-bit digitizer.

Digitizer will discriminate signals by pulse shape, then send fast logic pulses to FPGA-equipped logic module to test for one or more trigger conditions & enable FEM readout



Testbeam Triggering Progress


Time of Flight

Cherenkov

Halo veto


PMTs

Punchthrough




Testbeam Triggering Progress


Beamspill, pileup, busy signal



Mod for Scintillation Light Collection




SCINTILLATION CONNECTION PORT - OUTER FLANGE VIEW



SCINTILLATION CONNECTION PORT - INNER FLANGE VIEW


Reflector foil, TPB coated lining onto field cage walls



2 cryogenic PMTS

- one 3" high QE (30%)
 - one 2" standard QE (20%)
- + WLS reflector foil lining TPC

CAEN digitizer readout



MODIFICATION 03
Add removable instrument port


Large light signal due to reflector foil
 Precise calorimetry (although poor position resolution)
 Pulse shape discrim of minimum- vs. highly-ionizing particles
This feature has never been explored with LAr ν detectors

... if available in the test beams... even at very low rate....

low momentum anti_p may allow the first study of hadron star topology from anti_p-p annihilation at rest in Argon (anti-p-Ar reaction).

➤ Characterization of Antiproton Stars in Ar

$\pi^\pm, \pi^0, K^\pm, \dots$ multiplicity in hadron stars can be accurately determined with LAr imaging detector. This information is considered very relevant for *nnbar-oscillation search* with future large LArTPC detectors.




Antiproton Star Observed in Emulsion*

O. CHAMBERLAIN, W. W. CHUPP, G. GOLDBERGER, E. SEGRÈ, AND
C. WIEGAND, *Radiation Laboratory, Department of Physics,
University of California, Berkeley, California*

AND

E. AMALDI, G. BARONI, C. CASTAGNOLI, C. FRANZINETTI, AND
A. MANFREDINI, *Istituto di Fisica della Università, Roma
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare,
Sezione di Roma, Italy*

1956



Simulation of Antiproton Star in LAr